

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. 3:09-cr-00034-ECR-RAM
11)
12 Plaintiff,)
13 vs.) ORDER
14)
15 JASON URICH,)
16 Defendants.)

17 On November 7, 2011, the Court received a letter (#33) from Defendant
18 stating that contrary to the recommendation of the Court he has not been
19 assigned to FCI Herlong for his period of incarceration. This recommendation
20 was included in this Judgment and Commitment Order (#31) filed April 5, 2010.
21 It is a recommendation to the Bureau of Prisons, no more, no less; it is not a
22 direction to the Bureau and is not binding on the Bureau. There are many factors
23 which enter into assignments of inmates to institutions in the federal system and
24 the recommendation of the Court is only one of them.

25 In the Judgment and Commitment Order (#31), we requested the Bureau
26 of Prisons to provide a written explanation to the Court in the event of the
27 aforementioned and other recommendations contained therein could not be
28 complied with. No such written explanation has been received by the Court and


1 apparently the Bureau of Prisons has been unable to comply with our
2 recommendation concerning the place of incarceration of Defendant.

3 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Bureau of Prisons is again
4 requested within 21 days to provide a written explanation to the Court for its
5 inability to comply with the Court's recommendation concerning the place of
6 incarceration of Defendant.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order shall be sent by the
8 Clerk to Defendant at the address forth in his letter (#33) to the Court and to the
9 Bureau of Prisons at the following address:

10 Federal Bureau of Prisons
11 Designation and Computation Center
12 346 Marine Forces Drive
13 Grand Prairie, TX 75051

14 Dated this 18th day of November 2011.

15 
16 EDWARD C. REED, JR.
17 United States District Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28